

**Literature Review  
for  
Three Centres Antenatal Care Consensus Guidelines**

**Screening for Group B Streptococcus (GBS)**

by: Clinical Practice Improvement Unit, The Royal Women's Hospital

Project team members

Lisa Begg, MBBS, FRANZCOG, MPH, DDU (Consultant Obstetrician)  
Jeremy Oats MBBS, DM, FRCOG, FRANZCOG (Clinical Director Women's Services  
Adjunct Professor, School of Public Health, La Trobe University)  
Lynne Rigg, RN, RM, BAppSci (Senior Project Officer)

Reviewed by  
James F. King, MPH, FRANZCOG (Consultant in Perinatal Epidemiology)

**April 2005**

## Screening for Group B Streptococcus (GBS)

### Conclusion and recommendations

#### **In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?**

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations <sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.
2. Treat the following women intrapartum at time of labour or rupture of membranes with IV antibiotics:
  - All women positive by GBS culture screening done at 35 to 37 weeks
  - Any women with an infant previously infected with GBS
  - Any women with documented GBS bacteriuria (regardless of level of colony-forming units per mL) in this pregnancy.
3. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks.
4. Treat women with intrapartum fever with IV antibiotics (ie chorioamnionitis must be treated, but broader spectrum antibiotics would be advised).
5. If a woman is GBS-positive by culture screening or by history of bacteriuria, with prelabour rupture of membranes at term, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis and initiate induction of labour with IV oxytocin.
6. If GBS culture result is unknown and the woman has ruptured membranes at term for greater than 18 hours, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis.

There is evidence that benefits to mothers regarding reduction in febrile morbidity outweigh the risk of anaphylaxis.

The Project Team notes the evidence regarding the very large number needed to treat to prevent deaths from EOGBS and that this may deter some hospitals from implementing universal screening in favour of a risk based approach. However, cost effectiveness data is not available for morbidity rather than mortality. In the absence of this evidence the Project Team recommend universal screening for reduction in neonatal and maternal morbidity and neonatal mortality from GBS.

This literature review has focused on the antenatal screening for GBS. Literature acknowledges that a significant proportion of women with preterm prelabour rupture of membranes that go on to deliver prematurely will have a neonate affected by GBS sepsis. Therefore the management is directed at ascertainment of GBS status at the time of presentation.

#### **In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?**

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations <sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.
2. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks

GBS screening earlier than 35 weeks' gestation is not recommended.

# Literature Search and Appraisal

## Contents

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Topics to be addressed	4
3.	Methods	4
	3.1 Search strategy	
	3.2 Search terms	
4.	Search findings	4
	4.1 Initial search	
	4.2 Key citation selection	
	4.3 Grading recommendations	
5.	Results of the critical appraisal process: Commentary on and interpretation of publications reviewed	6
	5.1 In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?	
	5.2 In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?	
6.	Conclusions and recommendations	10
Appendix I	Search framework	11
Appendix II	Results of initial search	12
Appendix III	Complete articles retrieved	21
Appendix IV	Key citations	24
	Evidence tables	26

# Literature Search and Appraisal

## 1. Introduction

The Three Centres Collaboration contracted the Royal Women's Hospital (RWH) Clinical Practice Improvement Unit to conduct a comprehensive search and critical appraisal of publications addressing the topic of screening for Group B Streptococcus (GBS) published between January 2000 and January 2005, to inform the proposed review of the 2001 Three Centres Consensus Guidelines on Antenatal Care.

## 2. Topics to be addressed

- 2.1 In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?
- 2.2 In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?

## 3. Methods

### 3.1 Search strategy

- The OVID interface was used to search the following electronic databases:
  - MEDLINE: 2000 – January 2005
  - CINAHL: 2000 – January 2005
  - EBM Reviews: June 2000 – January 2005
- Cochrane Database: 2005 Issue 1
- Review of article citations and Cochrane Library references for additional citations
- Guidelines developed by specific Colleges of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists were searched including:
  - Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG)
  - Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG) , and
  - Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Canada (SOGC).
- Guidelines developed by other groups were searched for via the internet, on the:
  - United States National Guidelines Clearinghouse, and
  - TRIP database

### 3.2 Search terms

Terms used to identify relevant citations are outlined in Appendix I. The basis of the search was conducted using terms for Group B Streptococcus.

## 4. Search findings

### 4.1 Initial search

During the initial search citations were screened and selected using the following inclusion and exclusion criteria:

#### Inclusion criteria

2000-2005  
Diagnosis of GBS  
Risk factors  
Antenatal

#### Exclusion criteria

Guillian-Barre Syndrome  
Pregnancy infection  
Molecular analysis  
Group A Streptococcal  
UTI  
Vertical transmission  
Vaginal chlorhexidine in labour  
Dementia  
Alzheimer  
Neonatal infection / management

The initial search retrieved 118 citations and 7 guidelines (Appendix II).

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### 4.2 Key citation selection

The AGREE tool was applied by the Project Team to the following three guidelines which were subsequently used as a basis for answering the first topic.

- Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Canada (SOGC): Clinical Practice Guidelines: The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease<sup>1</sup>.
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG): Guideline Number 36: Prevention of early onset neonatal group B Streptococcal disease<sup>2</sup>.
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Clinical Guideline: Antenatal care: routine care for the healthy pregnant woman<sup>3</sup>.

In addition, citations with relevant evidence or authoritative opinion were selected to answer the:

- First topic - from the initial search for the period from December 2003.
- Second topic - from the initial search for the period from January 2000.

In addition to the 7 guidelines, 23 publications were retrieved (see Appendix III).

Publications were further screened to identify those studies with respect to quality of methodology and relevance to Australian obstetric practice. This resulted in 17 key citations that were subjected to systematic critical appraisal by the Project Team (Appendix IV).

The evidence within these 17 key citations fell into the following levels (see Appendix IV for definitions):

- Level I evidence: 0 publications
- Level II evidence: 0 publications
- Level III evidence: 8 publications, and
- Level IV evidence: 9 publications.

### 4.3 Grading recommendations

The Project Team has adapted the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) system applying the NHMRC Levels of Evidence, to grade recommendations as follows:

- A At least one meta analysis, systematic review, or RCT directly applicable to the target population; or Levels I or II evidence.
- B A body of evidence including studies rated as Level III-1 or III-2, directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results.
- C A body of evidence including studies rated as III-3 directly applicable to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results.
- D Evidence Level IV.

### 5. Results of the critical appraisal process: Commentary on and interpretation of publications reviewed

Early onset GBS (EOGBS) is defined as “GBS occurring in infants less than 1 week old and is acquired through vertical transmission from colonized mothers. Clinical presentations include sepsis, pneumonia and meningitis. The incidence is 1-3/1000 live births (declining to 0.6 per 1000 live births) in active surveillance areas. The death rate for EOGBS is 4.7-9%”<sup>4</sup>. Most recommendations are directed at prevention of EOGBS.

Late onset GBS (LOGBS) is defined as “GBS occurring in infants older than 1 week and is acquired through vertical transmission or through horizontal transmission in the hospital or the community. Meningitis is the most common presentation. The incidence is 0.22 per 1000 total births and the death rate is 2%”<sup>4</sup>.

The RANZCOG comment that: “the use of intrapartum prophylaxis with antibiotics (penicillin) given to women at risk of transmission of GBS to their newborns, prevents early onset sepsis and is cost effective. In the USA, intrapartum chemoprophylaxis has led to a 70% decline in the incidence of GBS disease in the past decade”<sup>5</sup>. That is, the incidence has declined from 2-3/1000 to 0.5/1000 with the advent of intrapartum chemoprophylaxis<sup>1</sup>.

In Victoria current screening and detection methods for GBS involve vaginal and rectal swabs for culture and sensitivity. Recently, the Food and Drug Authority (FDA) “approved a rapid real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) IDI-Strep B assay as a non-culture molecular test (high sensitivity and specificity) with one-hour turnaround time. However, it is not yet available in routine practice in Australia and would require a 24 hour, 7-day week operating molecular biology service”<sup>5</sup>.

#### 5.1 In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?

The SOGC acknowledge the pivotal randomised controlled trial (RCT) by Boyer and Gotoff in 1985, which demonstrated intrapartum antibiotics reducing the risk of early-onset disease in neonates and less perinatal febrile morbidity in colonized women<sup>1</sup>.

##### Screening approaches

The options for antenatal screening for GBS include:

- Risk assessment
- Universal screening and treating only those who are positive, and
- Universal screening and treating those who are positive in addition to those with a clinical risk factor<sup>2</sup>.

All combinations of these approaches have been practiced in Victorian hospitals<sup>6</sup>.

SOGC and RCOG guidelines, after the evaluation of evidence, recommend that all women be offered screening for GBS at 35-37 weeks gestation, culture done with one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area<sup>1, 3</sup>. A key citation supporting this recommendation is based on the greater rates of neonatal GBS when women are managed with a risk factor approach versus a culture based approach of 1.1 per 1000 versus 0 per 1000 ( $p=0.001$ )<sup>7</sup>. A large multistate retrospective cohort study reported a 54 percent reduction in EOGBS disease in screened women versus a risk based approach<sup>8</sup>. A retrospective review of infants diagnosed with EOGBS by Pinto et al, found the use of clinical risk factors exclusively will inevitably result in cases where there has been a missed opportunity for intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis<sup>9</sup>.

A review of RCT evidence reports “a highly significant reduction in the risk of GBS sepsis or pneumonia (pooled odds ratio [OR] = 0.17; 95% EI: 0.07, 0.39) with none of

## Literature Search and Appraisal

the 368 babies born to treated mothers suffering GBS bacteraemia and only one suffering clinical signs of sepsis / pneumonia”<sup>10:4</sup>.

Additional benefits of universal screening include reductions in maternal disease due to clinical chorioamnionitis (from 7.4 percent with the risk based approach compared with 5.2 percent with universal screening) and endometritis (from 4.0 percent with a risk-based approach to 2.8 percent with a screening approach)<sup>1</sup>.

Contrary to SOGC guidelines, a technical report by the New Zealand GBS Consensus Working Party recommends the implementation of a GBS risk-based prevention strategy, which aims to ensure the least numbers of women and their babies are exposed to antibiotics, while virtually preventing all deaths from GBS. The Working Party noted that:

- “(i) no strategy will prevent all cases of early-onset GBS infection,
- (ii) intrapartum antibiotics are associated with rare, but serious, adverse effects,
- (iii) concerns remain over developing antibiotic resistance,
- (iv) an economic analysis is required to help inform policy,
- (v) reliable bedside diagnostic tests for GBS in early labour are not yet available, and
- (vi) the most important determinant of effectiveness will be compliance with a single national prevention policy”<sup>11</sup>.

### **Estimated effects of bacteriological screening**

The Project Team has applied the SOGC evidence to Victoria’s population, where the carriage of GBS is 25 percent. Use of intrapartum antibiotics in screened women with positive GBS reduces the colonization rates to approximately one percent. Ten percent of these colonized women will result in colonized neonates, of which half will develop EOGBS disease. Therefore, approximately 2000 women will need to be screened and 500 treated to prevent one neonate developing EOGBS. Assuming intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis is 80 percent effective in preventing EOGBS disease, 20,000 women would need to be screened for GBS to prevent one neonatal death from EOGBS. Figures equate with those outlined in the RCOG guidelines<sup>2</sup>.

### **Potential risks of treating women identified as GBS carriers and/or with risk factors**

RANZCOG state the risk of anaphylaxis is 0.1 per 1000<sup>5</sup>. In the United Kingdom using the risk factor based approach approximately 15 percent of all pregnancies would be treated with GBS intrapartum prophylaxis, and using the universal screening approach this figure is closer to 25 percent<sup>2</sup>.

Other risks include the possibility of the development of antibiotic resistant organisms and that “exposure to antibiotics in the neonatal perinatal period may affect neonatal faecal flora, with a subsequent impact on immune development and later allergy”<sup>2:4</sup>.

Although penicillin is the preferred option for intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines recommend ampicillin as an acceptable alternative to penicillin<sup>4</sup>. Schuchat reports caution should be taken when using ampicillin instead of penicillin for GBS prophylaxis due to the severity of neonatal ampicillin resistant E coli sepsis and its occurrence after maternal antibiotics<sup>13</sup>. Australian data suggests a reduction in early onset E coli sepsis in all babies secondary to widespread antibiotic use in labour<sup>14</sup>.

### **Project Team Recommendations (A–B)**

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations<sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks’ gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

2. Treat the following women intrapartum at time of labour or rupture of membranes with IV antibiotics:
  - All women positive by GBS culture screening done at 35 to 37 weeks
  - Any women with an infant previously infected with GBS
  - Any women with documented GBS bacteriuria (regardless of level of colony-forming units per mL) in this pregnancy.
3. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks.
4. Treat women with intrapartum fever with IV antibiotics (ie chorioamnionitis must be treated, but broader spectrum antibiotics would be advised).
5. If a woman is GBS-positive by culture screening or by history of bacteriuria, with prelabour rupture of membranes at term, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis and initiate induction of labour with IV oxytocin.
6. If GBS culture result is unknown and the woman has ruptured membranes at term for greater than 18 hours, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis.

There is evidence that benefits to mothers regarding reduction in febrile morbidity outweigh the risk of anaphylaxis.

The Project Team notes the evidence regarding the very large number needed to treat to prevent deaths from EOGBS and that this may deter some hospitals from implementing universal screening in favour of a risk based approach. However, cost effectiveness data is not available for morbidity rather than mortality. In the absence of this evidence the Project Team recommend universal screening for reduction in neonatal and maternal morbidity and neonatal mortality from GBS.

This literature review has focused on the antenatal screening for GBS. Literature acknowledges that a significant proportion of women with preterm prelabour rupture of membranes that go on to deliver prematurely will have a neonate affected by GBS sepsis. Therefore the management is directed at ascertainment of GBS status at the time of presentation.

### **5.2 In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?**

There is limited data is available specifically pertaining to 30 weeks' gestation.

Haque et al published a retrospective cohort study of Level 2 NICU admissions over a 5 year period. Birth less than 30 weeks' gestation and birth weight less than 1500g were risk factors for sepsis. 50 percent of early onset sepsis was due to GBS (rate 4.2 per 1000 live births). One percent of late onset sepsis (>72 hours old) was due to GBS. Resuscitation at birth and indwelling intravenous catheters were also risk factors for neonatal sepsis. However, the study was not designed to specifically address the issue of whether screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks' gestation would result in either better detection rates for GBS or improved maternal or fetal morbidity or mortality<sup>15</sup>.

Lin et al address the issue from the opposite direction. Risk factors in their case-control study for GBS disease 7-180 days of age (LOGBS) include decreasing gestation, black mothers and mothers with GBS carriage. They conclude that these factors are similar to those previously reported for EOGBS but that prematurity is the primary risk factor for LOGBS. The study identified the risk of a 30-week gestation



## Literature Search and Appraisal

neonate developing LOGBS disease compared with that of a 37-week gestation neonate is multiplied by a factor of 7.76 (1.34). CDC guidelines state that LOGBS disease is not prevented by intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis<sup>12</sup>. Lin et al comment this is due to the transplacental transfer of IgG antibodies is inefficient at this gestation and that preterm infants may benefit from prevention strategies to reduce maternal GBS colonization. Although the study was not specifically designed to evaluate screening prior to 30 weeks' gestation and outcomes, it may lend weight to a policy of treating all women with risk factors such as prematurity, regardless of knowledge of GBS status<sup>16</sup>.

A more pertinent Sydney study involving a prospective cohort of 500 women attending antenatal clinic was screened using a variety of methods for GBS at 28-32 weeks' gestation. GBS carriage rates were 18-27 percent. The best positive and negative predictive rates for intrapartum carriage were 69 and 92 percent. Five percent of their population delivered prior to 37 weeks' gestation. The authors concluded that neither early antenatal screening nor clinical risk factors are reliable predictors of intrapartum GBS carriage<sup>17</sup>.

In addition, "although there is no difference between antenatal and intrapartum carriage rates, the PPV of early antenatal screening for intrapartum carriage was only 69%; 24% of intrapartum carriers were not identified by screening. Based on these data, it is difficult to justify continuation of early antenatal screening. However, PPV and sensitivity can be increased to >85% by screening at 35-37 weeks"<sup>17</sup>.

Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis based on carriage rates would include 35 percent of women versus 16 percent based on risk factors alone and both strategies would prevent similar proportions of neonatal deaths from GBS sepsis. There was no correlation between carriage and clinical risk factors. More than 50 percent of mothers in their population with infants with GBS sepsis had no risk factors. Compliance with preventive protocols is the most likely determinant of success. Reference is made to earlier work by Rosenstein and Schuchat (1997) in which a review of 245 cases of neonatal GBS sepsis found that 78 percent overall were preventable with a screening based intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis protocol, compared with 79 percent of cases in preterm and 30 percent term infants with a risk-factor-based protocol<sup>17</sup>.

### **Project Team Recommendations (A-B)**

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations<sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.
2. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks

GBS screening earlier than 35 weeks' gestation is not recommended.

### 6. Conclusions and recommendations

#### 6.1 In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations <sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.
2. Treat the following women intrapartum at time of labour or rupture of membranes with IV antibiotics:
  - All women positive by GBS culture screening done at 35 to 37 weeks
  - Any women with an infant previously infected with GBS
  - Any women with documented GBS bacteriuria (regardless of level of colony-forming units per mL) in this pregnancy.
3. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks.
4. Treat women with intrapartum fever with IV antibiotics (ie chorioamnionitis must be treated, but broader spectrum antibiotics would be advised).
5. If a woman is GBS-positive by culture screening or by history of bacteriuria, with prelabour rupture of membranes at term, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis and initiate induction of labour with IV oxytocin.
6. If GBS culture result is unknown and the woman has ruptured membranes at term for greater than 18 hours, treat with GBS antibiotic prophylaxis.

There is evidence that benefits to mothers regarding reduction in febrile morbidity outweigh the risk of anaphylaxis.

The Project Team note the evidence regarding the very large number needed to treat to prevent deaths from EOGBS and that this may deter some hospitals from implementing universal screening in favour of a risk based approach. However, cost effectiveness data is not available for morbidity rather than mortality. In the absence of this evidence the Project Team recommend universal screening for reduction in neonatal and maternal morbidity and neonatal mortality from GBS.

This literature review has focused on the antenatal screening for GBS. Literature acknowledges that a significant proportion of women with preterm prelabour rupture of membranes that go on to deliver prematurely will have a neonate affected by GBS sepsis. Therefore the management is directed at ascertainment of GBS status at the time of presentation.

#### 6.2 In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?

The Project Team agrees with the SOGC recommendations <sup>1</sup>, to:

1. Offer all women screening for group B streptococcal disease at 35 to 37 weeks' gestation with culture done from one swab first to the vagina then to the rectal area.
2. Treat women in labour at less than 37 weeks' gestation with IV antibiotics unless there has been a negative GBS vaginal/rectal swab culture within 5 weeks

GBS screening earlier than 35 weeks' gestation is not recommended.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### Appendix I

#### Search framework

A structured approach was used to identify an appropriate search strategy for this topic. Using the Patient/Intervention/Compared with/Outcome (PICO) format search terms were listed and entered into the various electronic databases.

P	All pregnant women
I	Swab Swab after 30 weeks gestation (is this before or after 30 wks)
C	Risk factor assessment Swab prior to 30 weeks gestation
O	Maternal outcomes Perinatal outcomes Detection of GBS, neonatal sepsis, neonatal mortality Cost effectiveness Patient satisfaction

#### Search findings

Term	Medline	Premedline	CINAHL	EBM
Group B Streptococcus / Group B Streptococcal / Group B Streptococci / Streptococcus Group B / Streptococcal Group B / Streptococci Group B / GBS	1444	147	162	81
Screen\$ / test\$	325695	48318	35275	25829
Group B Streptococcus / Group B Streptococcal / Group B Streptococci / Streptococcus Group B / Streptococcal Group B / Streptococci Group B / GBS and Screen\$ / test\$	104/348	12/36	26/45	3/25

#### Cochrane

	Systematic Review	DARE	Central Register
Group B Streptococcus / Group B Streptococcal / Group B Streptococci / Streptococcus Group B / Streptococcal Group B / Streptococci Group B / GBS	2/53	0/1	2/66

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### Appendix II Results of Initial Search

1. 1. Maternal screening to prevent neonatal Group B streptococcal disease. *Journal of Medical Screening* 2002;9(4):191.
2. Women's health: new guidelines on group B strep. *Patient Care for the Nurse Practitioner* 2003:7p.
3. Testing for maternal group B streptococci during labor is more cost effective than current screening strategies. *Research* 2003;Activities.(269):8.
4. Adair CE, Kowalsky L, Quon H, Ma D, Stoffman J, McGeer A, et al. Risk factors for early-onset group B streptococcal disease in neonates: a population-based case-control study. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2003;169(3):198-203.
5. Al-Sweih N, Maiyegun S, Diejomaoh M, Rotimi V, Khodakhast F, Hassan N, et al. Streptococcus agalactiae (Group B Streptococci) carriage in late pregnancy in Kuwait. *Medical Principles & Practice* 2004;13(1):10-4.
6. Andreu A, Sanfeliu I, Vinas L, Barranco M, Bosch J, Dopico E, et al. [Decreasing incidence of perinatal group B streptococcal disease (Barcelona 1994-2002). Relation with hospital prevention policies].[see comment]. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiologia Clinica* 2003;21(4):174-9.
7. Anonymous. Call for group B streptococcus screening. *Practising Midwife* 2000;3(7):8.
8. Anonymous. Adoption of perinatal group B streptococcal disease prevention recommendations by prenatal-care providers--Connecticut and Minnesota, 1998. *MMWR - Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2000;49(11):228-32.
9. Anonymous. Screening for group B strep. *AWHONN Lifelines* 2003;7(1):28-9.
10. Arisoy AS, Altinisik B, Tunger O, Kurutepe S, Ispahi C. Maternal carriage and antimicrobial resistance profile of group B Streptococcus. *Infection* 2003;31(4):244-6.
11. Artz LA, Kempf VA, Autenrieth IB. Rapid screening for Streptococcus agalactiae in vaginal specimens of pregnant women by fluorescent in situ hybridization. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2003;41(5):2170-3.
12. Baker CJ, Paoletti LC, Rench MA, Guttormsen HK, Edwards MS, Kasper DL. Immune response of healthy women to 2 different group B streptococcal type V capsular polysaccharide-protein conjugate vaccines. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2004;189(6):1103-12.
13. Barry H. Does screening for group B streptococcus prevent early-onset sepsis? *Evidence-Based Practice*;3(7):7-8.
14. Bayo M, Berlanga M, Agut M. Vaginal microbiota in healthy pregnant women and prenatal screening of group B streptococci (GBS). *International Microbiology* 2002;5(2):87-90.
15. Bergeron MG, Ke D. New DNA-based PCR approaches for rapid real-time detection and prevention of group B streptococcal infections in newborns and pregnant women. *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* 2001;2001:1-14.
16. Bergeron MG, Ke D, Menard C, Picard FJ, Gagnon M, Bernier M, et al. Rapid detection of group B streptococci in pregnant women at delivery.[see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;343(3):175-9.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

17. Bergh K, Stoelhaug A, Loeseth K, Bevanger L. Detection of group B streptococci (GBS) in vaginal swabs using real-time PCR with TaqMan probe hybridization. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;119 Suppl:221-3.
18. Blancas D, Santin M, Olmo M, Alcaide F, Carratala J, Gudiol F. Group B streptococcal disease in nonpregnant adults: incidence, clinical characteristics, and outcome. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 2004;23(3):168-73.
19. Blanckaert H, Frans J, Bosteels J, Hanssens M, Verhaegen J. Optimisation of prenatal group B streptococcal screening. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 2003;22(10):619-21.
20. Bland ML, Vermillion ST, Soper DE. Late third-trimester treatment of rectovaginal group B streptococci with benzathine penicillin G. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;183(2):372-6.
21. Bland ML, Vermillion ST, Soper DE, Austin M. Antibiotic resistance patterns of group B streptococci in late third-trimester rectovaginal cultures. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001;184(6):1125-6.
22. Bloom KC, Ewing CA. Group B streptococcal (GBS) disease screening and treatment during pregnancy: nurse-midwives' consistency with 1996 CDC recommendations. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health* 2001;46(1):17-23.
23. Brozanski BS, Jones JG, Krohn MA, Sweet RL. Effect of a screening-based prevention policy on prevalence of early-onset group B streptococcal sepsis. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;95(4):496-501.
24. Campbell N, Eddy A, Darlow B, Stone P, Grimwood K, New Zealand GBSCWP. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcus infection: technical report from the New Zealand GBS Consensus Working Party. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2004;117(1200):U1023.
25. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC). Prevention of group B streptococcal infection in newborns: Recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2002;166(7):928-30. (<http://www.ctfphc.org>)
26. Cardenas V, Davis RL, Hasselquist MB, Zavitkovsky A, Schuchat A. Barriers to implementing the group B streptococcal prevention guidelines. *Birth* 2002;29(4):285-90.
27. Carey JC. Screening and management protocols for group B streptococcus in pregnancy. *Current Women's Health Reports* 2002;2(4):238-44.
28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Laboratory practices for prenatal group B streptococcal screening--seven states, 2003. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2004;53(23):506-9.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Diminishing racial disparities in early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease--United States, 2000-2003. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2004;53(23):502-5.
30. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidelines: Prevention of perinatal Group B Streptococcal Disease. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2002;51(RR11):1-22. (<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5111a1.htm>)

## Literature Search and Appraisal

31. Cerrato PL. New federal guidelines on group B strep. *Contemporary OB/GYN*; 47(12):107-8.
32. Clemens CJ, Gable EK. The development of a group B streptococcus prevention policy at a community hospital. *Journal of Perinatology* 2002;22(7):523-5.
33. Coco AS. Comparison of two prevention strategies for neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *Journal of the American Board of Family Practice* 2002;15(4):272-6.
34. Connellan M, Wallace EM. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: screening practice in public hospitals in Victoria.[see comment]. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2000;172(7):317-20.
35. Cowgill K, Taylor TH, Jr., Schuchat A, Schrag S. Report from the CDC. Awareness of perinatal group B streptococcal infection among women of childbearing age in the United States, 1999 and 2002. *Journal of Women's Health* 2003;12(6):527-32.
36. Daley AJ, Garland SM. Prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal disease: progress, challenges and dilemmas. *Journal of Paediatrics & Child Health* 2004;40(12):664-8.
37. Darbyshire P, Collins C, McDonald HM, Hiller JE. Taking antenatal group B Streptococcus seriously: women's experiences of screening and perceptions of risk. *Birth* 2003;30(2):116-23.
38. Das A, Ray P, Sharma M, Gopalan S. Rapid diagnosis of vaginal carriage of group B beta haemolytic streptococcus by an enrichment cum antigen detection test. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2003;117:247-52.
39. Davies HD, Adair CE, Schuchat A, Low DE, Sauve RS, McGeer A, et al. Physicians' prevention practices and incidence of neonatal group B streptococcal disease in 2 Canadian regions. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2001;164(4):479-85.
40. Davies HD, Miller MA, Faro S, Gregson D, Kehl SC, Jordan JA. Multicenter study of a rapid molecular-based assay for the diagnosis of group B Streptococcus colonization in pregnant women. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2004;39(8):1129-35.
41. Davis RI HMBCVZDMKJZASA. Introduction of the new Centers for Disease Control and Prevention group B streptococcal prevention guideline at a large West Coast health maintenance organization. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001;184(4):603.
42. De Paepe ME, Friedman RM, Gundogan F, Pinar H, Oyer CE. The histologic fetoplacental inflammatory response in fatal perinatal group B-streptococcus infection. *Journal of Perinatology* 2004;24(7):441-5.
43. Dmitriev A, Suvorov A, Shen AD, Yang YH. Clinical diagnosis of group B streptococci by scpB gene based PCR. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;119 Suppl:233-6.
44. Edwards RK, Clark P, Duff P. Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis 2: positive predictive value of antenatal group B streptococci cultures and antibiotic susceptibility of clinical isolates. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2002;100(3):540-4.
45. Edwards RK, Jamie WE, Sterner D, Gentry S, Counts K, Duff P. Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis and early-onset neonatal sepsis patterns. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;11(4):221-6.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

46. El-Kersh TA, Al-Nuaim LA, Kharfy TA, Al-Shammary FJ, Al-Saleh SS, Al-Zamel FA. Detection of genital colonization of group B streptococci during late pregnancy. *Saudi Medical Journal* 2002;23(1):56-61.
47. Garcia SD, Eliseth MC, Lazzo MJ, Copolillo E, Barata AD, de Torres R, et al. [Group B Streptococcus carriers among pregnant women]. *Revista Argentina de Microbiologia* 2003;35(4):183-7.
48. Garland SM, Kelly N, Ugoni AM. Is antenatal group B streptococcal carriage a predictor of adverse obstetric outcome? *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;8(3-4):138-42.
49. Gerdes JS. Diagnosis and management of bacterial infections in the neonate. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*;51(4):939-59.
50. Gibbs RS, Schrag S, Schuchat A. Perinatal infections due to group B streptococci. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2004;104(5 Pt 1):1062-76.
51. Gilbert GL, Hewitt MC, Turner CM, Leeder SR. Epidemiology and predictive values of risk factors for neonatal group B streptococcal sepsis. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2002;42(5):497-503.
52. Gilbert GL, Hewitt MC, Turner CM, Leeder SR. Compliance with protocols for prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal sepsis: practicalities and limitations. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;11(1):1-9.
53. Gilbert R. Prenatal screening for group B streptococcal infection: gaps in the evidence. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2004;33(1):2-8.
54. Gilson GJ, Christensen F, Romero H, Bekes K, Silva L, Qualls CR. Prevention of group B streptococcus early-onset neonatal sepsis: comparison of the Center for Disease Control and prevention screening-based protocol to a risk-based protocol in infants at greater than 37 weeks' gestation. *Journal of Perinatology* 2000;20(8 Pt 1):491-5.
55. Gosling I, Stone P, Grimwood K. Awareness, knowledge and attitudes of lead maternity carers towards early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2002;115(1149):106-8.
56. Gosling IA, Stone PR, Grimwood K. Early-onset group B streptococcus prevention protocols in New Zealand public hospitals. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2002;42(4):362-4.
57. Grimwood K, Stone PR, Gosling IA, Green R, Darlow BA, Lennon DR, et al. Late antenatal carriage of group B Streptococcus by New Zealand women. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2002;42(2):182-6.
58. Gupta C, Briski LE. Comparison of two culture media and three sampling techniques for sensitive and rapid screening of vaginal colonization by group B streptococcus in pregnant women. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2004;42(9):3975-7.
59. Haberland CA, Benitz WE, Sanders GD, Pietzsch JB, Yamada S, Nguyen L, et al. Perinatal screening for group B streptococci: cost-benefit analysis of rapid polymerase chain reaction. *Pediatrics* 2002;110(3):471-80.
60. Hager WD, Schuchat A, Gibbs R, Sweet R, Mead P, Larsen JW. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal infection: current controversies.[see comment]. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;96(1):141-5.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

61. Halliday E, Foote K, Dryden M, Heard M, Down R, Ward J. Universal maternal screening for neonatal group B streptococcal disease.[see comment]. *Lancet* 2000;356(9239):1407-8.
62. Hammoud MS, Al-Shemmari M, Thalib L, Al-Sweih N, Rashwan N, Devarajan LV, et al. Comparison between different types of surveillance samples for the detection of GBS colonization in both parturient mothers and their infants. *Gynecologic & Obstetric Investigation* 2003;56(4):225-30.
63. Haque KN, Khan MA, Kerry S, Stephenson J, Woods G. Pattern of culture-proven neonatal sepsis in a district general hospital in the United Kingdom. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2004;25(9):759-64.
64. Hoshina K, Suzuki Y, Nishida H, Kaneko K, Matsuda S, Kobayashi M, et al. Trend of neonatal group B streptococcal infection during the last 15 years. *Pediatrics International* 2002;44(6):641-6.
65. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Health Care Guideline: Routine prenatal care. 2003 (<http://www.icsi.org/knowledge/detail.asp?catID=29&itemID=191>)
66. James DC. Maternal screening and treatment for group B streptococcus. *JOGNN - Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing* 2001;30(6):659-66.
67. Janek L, Holoman K, Suska P, Gavornik E, Horakova E, Krizko M, Jr. [Screening for hemolytic streptococcus group B in pregnancy and prevention of infection in neonates]. *Ceska Gynecologie* 2004;69(2):91-4.
68. Jaureguy F, Carton M, Teboul J, Butel MJ, Panel P, Ghnassia JC, et al. [Risk factors and screening strategy for group B streptococcal colonization in pregnant women: results of a prospective study]. *Journal de Gynecologie, Obstetrique et Biologie de la Reproduction* 2003;32(2):132-8.
69. Kenyon S, Brocklehurst P, Blackburn A, Taylor DJ. Antenatal screening and intrapartum management of Group B Streptococcus in the UK. *BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2004;111(3):226-30.
70. Kowalska B, Niemiec KT, Drejewicz H, Polak K, Kubik P, Elmidaoui A, et al. [Prevalence of group B streptococcal colonization in pregnant women and their newborns based on the results of examination of patients in the Obstetric and Gynecology Department of the National Research Institute of Mother and Child--a pilot study]. *Ginekologia Polska* 2003;74(10):1223-7.
71. Kubota T, Nojima M, Itoh S. Vaginal bacterial flora of pregnant women colonized with group B streptococcus. *Journal of Infection & Chemotherapy* 2002;8(4):326-30.
72. L MMJJDEL. Obstetricians' compliance with CDC guidelines on maternal screening and intrapartum prophylaxis for group B streptococcus. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2000;20(5):460-4.
73. Lin FC, Weisman LE, Troendle J, Adams K. Prematurity is the major risk factor for late-onset group B streptococcus disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2003;188(2):267-71.
74. Ma Y, Wu L, Huang X. [Study on perinatal group B Streptococcus carriers and the maternal and neonatal outcome]. *Chung-Hua Fu Chan Ko Tsa Chih [Chinese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology]* 2000;35(1):32-5.



## Literature Search and Appraisal

75. Marai W. Lower genital tract infections among pregnant women: a review. *East African Medical Journal* 2001;78(11):581-5.
76. Marsland T, King V. Maternal screening strategy more effective than risk-based approaches for preventing group B streptococcal disease in neonates. *Journal of Family Practice* 2002;51(11):926.
77. McDuffie RS, Jr. OB/GYN infection. Screening techniques for group B streptococcal infection. *Contemporary OB/GYN*;45(12):105-6.
78. McLaughlin K, Crowther C. Universal antenatal group B streptococcus screening? The opinions of obstetricians and neonatologists within Australia. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2000;40(3):338-40.
79. McQueen MJ. Screening for the early detection of disease, the need for evidence. *Clinica Chimica Acta* 2002;315(1-2):5-15.
80. Mead PB. Streptococcal screening in obstetrics.[comment]. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001;98(5 Pt 1):721-3.
81. Melin P, Verschraegen G, Mahieu L, Claeys G, Mol PD. Towards a Belgian consensus for prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;119 Suppl:197-200.
82. Money DM, Dobson S, Canadian Paediatric Society IDC. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada: JOGC* 2004;26(9):826-40.  
([http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc\\_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149\\_e.pdf](http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149_e.pdf))
83. Motlova J, Strakova L, Urbaskova P, Sak P, Sever T. Vaginal & rectal carriage of *Streptococcus agalactiae* in the Czech Republic: incidence, serotypes distribution & susceptibility to antibiotics. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2004;119 Suppl:84-7.
84. Mukerjee C, Heron LG, Varetas K. Group B streptococcus screening in pregnant women: a comparison of three media. *Pathology* 2000;32(1):46-8.
85. Nemunaitis-Keller J, Gill P. Limitations of the obstetric group B *Streptococcus* protocol. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2003;48(2):107-11.
86. Oats JJ. Routine antenatal screening: a need to evaluate Australian practice.[comment]. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2000;172(7):311-2.
87. Ogunmodede F, Virnig BA, Danila R, Lynfield R. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease in Minnesota: results from a retrospective cohort study and new prevention guidelines. *Minnesota Medicine* 2003;86(8):40-5.
88. Orrett FA. Colonization with Group B streptococci in pregnancy and outcome of infected neonates in Trinidad. *Pediatrics International* 2003;45(3):319-23.
89. Orsello C, Dommermuth R. Maximizing neonatal early onset group B streptococcal disease prevention with universal culture screening at 35 to 37 weeks gestation: a comparison of GBS detection rates between LIM broth and CNA culture media. *Family Medicine* 2003;35(6):411-3.
90. Parks DK. Clinical decisions related to CBC screening of asymptomatic full-term infants at risk for group B streptococcus disease. *The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston School of Nursing \*\* D S N (78 p) 2001.*

## Literature Search and Appraisal

91. Picard FJ, Bergeron MG. Laboratory detection of group B Streptococcus for prevention of perinatal disease. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 2004;23(9):665-71.
92. Pinette MG, Wax JR, Blackstone J, Cartin A, McCrann DJ. Culture-based group B streptococcal screening. Adherence to current guidelines. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2003;48(5):309-12.
93. Pinto NM, Soskolne EI, Pearlman MD, Faix RG. Neonatal early-onset group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum chemoprophylaxis: residual problems. *Journal of Perinatology* 2003;23(4):265-71.
94. Plumb J, Holwell D. Group B strep: prevention is better than cure. *Practising Midwife* 2004;7(3):17-21.
95. Quentin R, Morange-Saussier V, Watt S. [Obstetrical management of Streptococcus agalactiae]. *Journal de Gynecologie, Obstetrique et Biologie de la Reproduction* 2002;31(6 Suppl):4S65-4S73.
96. Quinlan JD, Hill DA, Maxwell BD, Boone S, Hoover F, Lense JJ. The necessity of both anorectal and vaginal cultures for group B streptococcus screening during pregnancy. *Journal of Family Practice* 2000;49(5):447-8.
97. Read S. Group B Streptococcus: testing and treatment. *British Journal of Midwifery* 2003;11(3):160-3.
98. Reisner DP, Haas MJ, Zingheim RW, Williams MA, Luthy DA. Performance of a group B streptococcal prophylaxis protocol combining high-risk treatment and low-risk screening. including commentary by Enbom JA, Schwartz ML, Graham AR, Prins RP, Emmons S, and Medchill MT with author response. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2000;182(6):1335-43.
99. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG). College statement: Swabbing for Group B Streptococcus. 2003 (<http://www.ranzcog.edu.au/publications/statements/C-obs19.pdf>)
100. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Guideline Number 36: Prevention of early onset neonatal group B Streptococcal disease. 2003 ([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB\\_strep\\_no36.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB_strep_no36.pdf))
101. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Evidence based guidelines Antenatal care: routine care for the healthy pregnant woman. 2003 ([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal\\_Care.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal_Care.pdf))
102. Sallam A, Paes B. Streptococcus pneumoniae: an old bug with significant maternal-newborn implications. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2004;21(8):491-5.
103. Schrag S, Gorwitz R, Fultz-Butts K, Schuchat A. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease. Revised guidelines from CDC. *Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report Recommendations & Reports* 2002;51(RR-11):1-22.
104. Schrag SJ, Arnold KE, Mohle-Boetani JC, Lynfield R, Zell ER, Stefonek K, et al. Prenatal screening for infectious diseases and opportunities for prevention. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;102(4):753-60.
105. Schrag SJ, Whitney CG, Schuchat A. Neonatal group B streptococcal disease: how infection control teams can contribute to prevention efforts. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* 2000;21(7):473-83.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

106. Schrag SJ, Zell ER, Lynfield R, Roome A, Arnold KE, Craig AS, et al. A population-based comparison of strategies to prevent early-onset group B streptococcal disease in neonates.[see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;347(4):233-9.
107. Schrag SJ, Zywicki S, Farley MM, Reingold AL, Harrison LH, Lefkowitz LB, et al. Group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis.[see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;342(1):15-20.
108. Schuchat A. Neonatal group B streptococcal disease--screening and prevention.[comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;343(3):209-10.
109. Schuchat A, Roome A, Zell ER, Linardos H, Zywicki S, O'Brien KL. Integrated monitoring of a new group B streptococcal disease prevention program and other perinatal infections. *Maternal & Child Health Journal* 2002;6(2):107-14.
110. Schuchat A, Zywicki SS, Dinsmoor MJ, Mercer B, Romaguera J, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Risk factors and opportunities for prevention of early-onset neonatal sepsis: a multicenter case-control study. *Pediatrics* 2000;105(1 Pt 1):21-6.
111. Share L, Chaikin S, Pomeranets S, Kiwi R, Jacobs M, Fanaroff AA. Implementation of guidelines for preventing early onset group B streptococcal infection. *Seminars in Perinatology* 2001;25(2):107-13.
112. Silverman NS, Morgan M, Nichols WS. Antibiotic resistance patterns of group B streptococcus in antenatal genital cultures. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2000;45(12):979-82.
113. Stan CM, Boulvain M, Bovier PA, Auckenthaler R, Berner M, Irion O. Choosing a strategy to prevent neonatal early-onset group B streptococcal sepsis: economic evaluation. *BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2001;108(8):840-7.
114. Szabo J, Molnar L, Pech E, Lintner F, Boros V. [Experience in the screening of Streptococcus group B infection during pregnancy: can severe neonatal infection be prevented?]. *Orvosi Hetilap* 2002;143(24):1479-82.
115. Taylor JK, Hall RW, Dupre AR. The incidence of group B streptococcus in the vaginal tracts of pregnant women in central Alabama. *Clinical Laboratory Science* 2002;15(1):16-7.
116. Teese N, Hennessey D, Pearce C, Kelly N, Garland S. Screening protocols for group B streptococcus: are transport media appropriate? *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;11(4):199-202.
117. Vaciloto E, Richtmann R, de Paula Fiod Costa H, Kusano EJ, de Almeida MF, Amaro ER. A survey of the incidence of neonatal sepsis by group B Streptococcus during a decade in a Brazilian maternity hospital. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002;6(2):55-62.
118. Vergani P, Patane L, Colombo C, Borroni C, Giltri G, Ghidini A. Impact of different prevention strategies on neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *American Journal of Perinatology* 2002;19(6):341-8.
119. Villasenor Sierra A, Morales Velazquez P, Palacios Saucedo G, Solorzano Santos F. [Prevalence of Streptococcus agalactiae serotype III in pregnant women]. *Ginecologia y Obstetricia de Mexico* 2004;72:103-8.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

120. Volumenie JL, Fernandez H, Vial M, Lebrun L, Frydman R. Neonatal group B streptococcal infection. Results of 33 months of universal maternal screening and antibioprophyllaxis. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, & Reproductive Biology* 2001;94(1):79-85.
121. Votava M, Tejkalova M, Drabkova M, Unzeitig V, Braveny I. Use of GBS media for rapid detection of group B streptococci in vaginal and rectal swabs from women in labor. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases* 2001;20(2):120-2.
122. Watt JP, Schuchat A, Erickson K, Honig JE, Gibbs R, Schulkin J. Group B streptococcal disease prevention practices of obstetrician-gynecologists. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2001;98(1):7-13.
123. Winn N, Records K, Rice M. The relationship between abuse, sexually transmitted diseases, & group B streptococcus in childbearing women. *MCN, American Journal of Maternal Child Nursing* 2003;28(2):106-10.
124. Woltjen MG. Continuing education. Screening strategies for group B streptococcus in the third trimester of pregnancy. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners* 2002;14(12):531-9.
125. Yucesoy G, Caliskan E, Karadenizli A, Corakci A, Yucesoy I, Huseyinoglu N, et al. Maternal colonisation with group B streptococcus and effectiveness of a culture-based protocol to prevent early-onset neonatal sepsis. *International Journal of Clinical Practice* 2004;58(8):735-9.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### Appendix III

#### Complete articles retrieved

1. Testing for maternal group B streptococci during labor is more cost effective than current screening strategies. *Research 2003;Activities.*(269):8.
2. Arisoy AS, Altinisik B, Tunger O, Kurutepe S, Ispahi C. Maternal carriage and antimicrobial resistance profile of group B Streptococcus. *Infection 2003;31(4):244-6.*
3. Artz LA, Kempf VA, Autenrieth IB. Rapid screening for Streptococcus agalactiae in vaginal specimens of pregnant women by fluorescent in situ hybridization. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2003;41(5):2170-3.*
4. Bergh K, Stoelhaug A, Loeseth K, Bevanger L. Detection of group B streptococci (GBS) in vaginal swabs using real-time PCR with TaqMan probe hybridization. *Indian Journal of Medical Research 2004;119 Suppl:221-3.*
5. Campbell N, Eddy A, Darlow B, Stone P, Grimwood K, New Zealand GBSCWP. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcus infection: technical report from the New Zealand GBS Consensus Working Party. *New Zealand Medical Journal 2004;117(1200):U1023.*
6. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC). Prevention of group B streptococcal infection in newborns: Recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal 2002;166(7):928-30.* (<http://www.ctfphc.org>)
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diminishing racial disparities in early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease--United States, 2000-2003. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report 2004;53(23):502-5.*
8. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidelines: Prevention of perinatal Group B Streptococcal Disease. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report 2002;51(RR11):1-22.* (<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5111a1.html>)
9. Daley AJ, Garland SM. Prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal disease: progress, challenges and dilemmas. *Journal of Paediatrics & Child Health 2004;40(12):664-8.*
10. Das A, Ray P, Sharma M, Gopalan S. Rapid diagnosis of vaginal carriage of group B beta haemolytic streptococcus by an enrichment cum antigen detection test. *Indian Journal of Medical Research 2003;117:247-52.*
11. Davies HD, Miller MA, Faro S, Gregson D, Kehl SC, Jordan JA. Multicenter study of a rapid molecular-based assay for the diagnosis of group B Streptococcus colonization in pregnant women. *Clinical Infectious Diseases 2004;39(8):1129-35.*
12. De Paepe ME, Friedman RM, Gundogan F, Pinar H, Oyer CE. The histologic fetoplacental inflammatory response in fatal perinatal group B-streptococcus infection. *Journal of Perinatology 2004;24(7):441-5.*
13. Edwards RK, Jamie WE, Sterner D, Gentry S, Counts K, Duff P. Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis and early-onset neonatal sepsis patterns. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology 2003;11(4):221-6.*
14. Gibbs RS, Schrag S, Schuchat A. Perinatal infections due to group B streptococci. *Obstetrics & Gynecology 2004;104(5 Pt 1):1062-76.*

## Literature Search and Appraisal

15. Gilbert GL, Hewitt MC, Turner CM, Leeder SR. Compliance with protocols for prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal sepsis: practicalities and limitations. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;11(1):1-9.
16. Gilbert R. Prenatal screening for group B streptococcal infection: gaps in the evidence. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2004;33(1):2-8.
17. Hammoud MS, Al-Shemmari M, Thalib L, Al-Sweih N, Rashwan N, Devarajan LV, et al. Comparison between different types of surveillance samples for the detection of GBS colonization in both parturient mothers and their infants. *Gynecologic & Obstetric Investigation* 2003;56(4):225-30.
18. Haque KN, Khan MA, Kerry S, Stephenson J, Woods G. Pattern of culture-proven neonatal sepsis in a district general hospital in the United Kingdom. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2004;25(9):759-64.
19. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Routine prenatal care. 2003 (<http://www.icsi.org/knowledge/detail.asp?catID=29&itemID=191>)
20. Kenyon S, Brocklehurst P, Blackburn A, Taylor DJ. Antenatal screening and intrapartum management of Group B Streptococcus in the UK. *BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2004;111(3):226-30.
21. Lin FC, Weisman LE, Troendle J, Adams K. Prematurity is the major risk factor for late-onset group B streptococcus disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2003;188(2):267-71.
22. Main EK, Slagle T. Prevention of early-onset invasive neonatal group B streptococcal disease in a private hospital setting: the superiority of culture-based protocols. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;182(6):1344-54.
23. Money DM, Dobson S, Canadian Paediatric Society IDC. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada: JOGC* 2004;26(9):826-40. ([http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc\\_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149\\_e.pdf](http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149_e.pdf))
24. Nemunaitis-Keller J, Gill P. Limitations of the obstetric group B Streptococcus protocol. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2003;48(2):107-11.
25. Pinette MG, Wax JR, Blackstone J, Cartin A, McCrann DJ. Culture-based group B streptococcal screening. Adherence to current guidelines. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2003;48(5):309-12.
26. Pinto NM, Soskolne EI, Pearlman MD, Faix RG. Neonatal early-onset group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum chemoprophylaxis: residual problems. *Journal of Perinatology* 2003;23(4):265-71.
27. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG). College statement: Swabbing for Group B Streptococcus. 2003 (<http://www.ranzcog.edu.au/publications/statements/C-obs19.pdf>)
28. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Guideline Number 36: Prevention of early onset neonatal group B Streptococcal disease. 2003 ([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB\\_strep\\_no36.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB_strep_no36.pdf))
29. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Evidence based guidelines Antenatal care: routine care for the healthy pregnant woman. 2003 ([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal\\_Care.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal_Care.pdf))

## Literature Search and Appraisal

30. Teese N, Hennessey D, Pearce C, Kelly N, Garland S. Screening protocols for group B streptococcus: are transport media appropriate? *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2003;11(4):199-202.

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### Appendix IV Key Citations

#### Levels of Evidence Ratings

- I** Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomised controlled trials.
- II** Evidence obtained from at least one properly-designed randomised controlled trial.
- III-1** Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudorandomised controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method).
- III-2** Evidence obtained from comparative studies (including systematic reviews of such studies) with concurrent controls and allocation not randomised, cohort studies, case-control studies, or interrupted time series with a control group.
- III-3** Evidence obtained from comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, or interrupted time series without a parallel control group.
- IV** Evidence obtained from case series, opinions of respected authorities, descriptive studies, reports of expert committees and case studies.

NHMRC. *A Guide to the Development, Implementation and Evaluation of Clinical Practice Guidelines* (1999).

1. Money DM, Dobson S, Canadian Paediatric Society IDC. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcal disease. *Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology Canada: JOGC* 2004;26(9):826-40. (Level IV)  
([http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc\\_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149\\_e.pdf](http://sogc.medical.org/sogcnet/sogc_docs/common/guide/pdfs/ps149_e.pdf))
2. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Guideline Number 36: Prevention of early onset neonatal group B Streptococcal disease. 2003. (Level IV)  
([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB\\_strep\\_no36.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/GroupB_strep_no36.pdf))
3. Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RCOG). Evidence based guidelines Antenatal care: routine care for the healthy pregnant woman. 2003. (Level IV)  
([http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal\\_Care.pdf](http://www.rcog.org.uk/resources/Public/Antenatal_Care.pdf))
4. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC). Prevention of group B streptococcal infection in newborns: Recommendation statement from the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2002; 166(7):928-30. (Level IV)  
(<http://www.ctfphc.org>)
5. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists (RANZCOG). College statement: Swabbing for Group B Streptococcus. 2003. (Level IV)  
(<http://www.ranzcog.edu.au/publications/statements/C-obs19.pdf>)
6. Connellan M, Wallace EM. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: screening practice in public hospitals in Victoria.[see comment]. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2000;172(7):317-20. (Level III-3)
7. Main EK, Slagle T. Prevention of early-onset invasive neonatal group B streptococcal disease in a private hospital setting: the superiority of culture-based protocols. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2000;182(6):1344-54. (Level III-2)



## Literature Search and Appraisal

8. Schrag SJ, Zell ER, Lynfield R, Roome A, Arnold KE, Craig AS, et al. A population-based comparison of strategies to prevent early-onset group B streptococcal disease in neonates.[see comment]. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;347(4):233-9. (Level III-2)
9. Pinto NM, Soskolne EI, Pearlman MD, Faix RG. Neonatal early-onset group B streptococcal disease in the era of intrapartum chemoprophylaxis: residual problems. *Journal of Perinatology* 2003;23(4):265-71. (Level III-3)
10. Gilbert R. Prenatal screening for group B streptococcal infection: gaps in the evidence. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2004;33(1):2-8. (Level IV)
11. Campbell N, Eddy A, Darlow B, Stone P, Grimwood K, New Zealand GBSCWP. The prevention of early-onset neonatal group B streptococcus infection: technical report from the New Zealand GBS Consensus Working Party. *New Zealand Medical Journal* 2004;117(1200):U1023. (Level IV)
12. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Guidelines: Prevention of perinatal Group B Streptococcal Disease. *MMWR Morbidity & Mortality Weekly Report* 2002;51(RR11):1-22. (Level IV)  
(<http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5111a1.html>)
13. Schuchat A, Zywicki SS, Dinsmoor MJ, Mercer B, Romaguera J, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Risk factors and opportunities for prevention of early-onset neonatal sepsis: a multicenter case-control study. *Pediatrics* 2000;105(1 Pt 1):21-6. (Level III-2)
14. Daley AJ, Garland SM. Prevention of neonatal group B streptococcal disease: progress, challenges and dilemmas. *Journal of Paediatrics & Child Health* 2004;40(12):664-8. (Level IV)
15. Haque KN, Khan MA, Kerry S, Stephenson J, Woods G. Pattern of culture-proven neonatal sepsis in a district general hospital in the United Kingdom. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2004;25(9):759-64. (Level III-2)
16. Lin FC, Weisman LE, Troendle J, Adams K. Prematurity is the major risk factor for late-onset group B streptococcus disease. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2003;188(2):267-71. (Level III-2)
17. Gilbert GL, Hewitt MC, Turner CM, Leeder SR. Epidemiology and predictive values of risk factors for neonatal group B streptococcal sepsis. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2002;42(5):497-503. (Level III-2)

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### 2.1 In pregnant women is screening for GBS using a swab more effective in detecting and improving maternal and perinatal outcomes than risk factor assessment alone?

Study	Ref.	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Study type	EL
Main EK and Slagle T  2000	7	California Pacific Medical Center (large private tertiary perinatal referral hospital) San Francisco, USA.  Three study periods over 7 years: January 1992 – December 1993 January 1994 – December 1996 January 1997 – December 1998	GBS management protocols	Protocol: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Efficacy to prevent EOGBS disease</li> <li>Effect of early –onset serious neonatal infections</li> <li>Usefulness of risk factors</li> <li>Use of cultures and antibiotics</li> </ul>	<p>January 1992 – December 1993</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Less organized approaches to GBS prophylaxis</li> <li>Focus on antibiotic treatment of preterm labour and intrapartum pyrexia, although antibiotics were used in &lt;50% if cases meeting the criteria.</li> <li>EOGBS disease rate was 1.1 cases per 1000 births</li> </ul> <p>January 1994 – December 1996 – implementation of a risk based approach recommended by CDC and ACOG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EOGBS disease rate was 1.1 cases per 1000 births</li> </ul> <p>January 1997 – December 1998 – implementation of a culture based screening protocol between 35 and 37 weeks' gestation as outlined by CDC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No cases of EOGBS disease</li> <li>No increases in other early onset infection or antibiotic resistance</li> </ul>	Sequential prospective observational study	III-2
Scrag SJ, Zell ER et al  2002	8	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA  Eight geographical areas with active surveillance for GBS infection (a stratified random sample of 5144 live births was selected from 629,912 livebirths).  1998-1999	Effectiveness of universal culture screening compared with screening by identification of clinical risk factors.	Prevention of EOGBS disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>312 instances of EOGBS.</li> <li>52% of mothers had documented antenatal screening.</li> <li>Risk EOGBS disease was significantly lower in the universal culture screened group than in the group screened by clinical risk (adjusted relative risk, 0.46; 95 percent confidence interval, 0.36 to 0.60).</li> <li>A secondary analysis was conducted following exclusion of women with risk factors and adequate time for GBS prophylaxis. The relative risk of EOGBS disease was similar—0.48 (95 percent confidence interval, 0.37 to 0.63).</li> </ul> <p>Authors conclude that routine antenatal screening for GBS prevents more cases of EOGBS disease than the risk-based approach</p>	Multistate retrospective cohort study	III-2

## Literature Search and Appraisal

Schuchat A, Zywicki SS et al  2000	13	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, USA  Eight hospitals for varying periods between: April 1995 – March 1997  52 406 births during surveillance period.	Universal antenatal screening for GBS  Risk based strategy for GBS identification	Prevention of EOGBS disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 188 infants developed early onset disease (3.5 cases per 1000 live births).</li> <li>• Most infections were caused by GBS (1.4 cases per 1000 births) and Escherichia coli (0.6 cases per 1000 births).</li> <li>• GBS sepsis less often occurred in preterm deliveries compared with other sepsis.</li> <li>• Compared with gestation-matched controls without documented sepsis, GBS disease was associated with intrapartum fever (matched OR, 4.1; CI, 1.2-13.4) and frequent vaginal exams (matched OR, 2.9; CI, 1.1-8.0).</li> <li>• 49% of GBS cases and 79% of other sepsis had an obstetric risk factor (preterm delivery, intrapartum fever, or membrane rupture <math>\geq 18</math> hours)</li> <li>• Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) had an adjusted efficacy of 68.2% against any early-onset sepsis.</li> <li>• 69% of E Coli infections had ampicillin resistance. 41% of ampicillin-resistant E coli infections were fatal compared with no deaths in susceptible E coli infections. 91% of infants who developed ampicillin-resistant E coli infections were preterm, and 59% of these infants were born to mothers who had received IAP.</li> </ul> <p>Authors conclude that antenatal screening for GBS by culture or risk could potentially prevent a substantial portion of GBS cases. Sepsis caused by other organisms occurs more frequently in premature infants. IAP appears effective against early-onset sepsis. However, caution is advised in the replacing penicillin with ampicillin because of the severity of ampicillin-resistant E coli sepsis and its occurrence after maternal antibiotics for GBS prophylaxis.</p>	Case control study	III-2
Connellan M and Wallace EM  2000	6	Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne Australia  84 hospitals that undertook deliveries of public patients in Victoria, Australia.  November 1996 - January 1998.	Postal survey	Clinical protocols for prevention of EOGBS	<p>62 (97%) of hospitals undertook actions to identify and treat pregnant women at risk of EOGBS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 48 (75%) used bacteriological screening for maternal carriers of GBS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 15 hospitals used low vaginal swabs</li> <li>○ 12 hospitals swabbed before 30 weeks' gestation.</li> <li>○ One hospital used low vaginal swab plus anal swab.</li> <li>○ Bacteriological screening was more common in metropolitan hospitals than in rural hospitals (100% versus 67%; P = 0.007, Fisher's exact test).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Hospital survey	III-3

## Literature Search and Appraisal

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>59 (92%) of hospitals targeted prophylaxis by recognised risk factors, including 45 that also undertook screening. There was considerable variation in the specific risk factors used.</li> </ul>		
		Data included from 64 hospitals representing 42 784 births (68% births in Victoria in 1996)					
Pinto NM, Soskolne EI et al	9	University of Michigan affiliated nurseries (x2), USA	Initial publication of guidelines for intrapartum chemoprophylaxis	Cases of EOGBS disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>92 infants had EOGBS.</li> <li>68 of the infants with EOGBS had received no intrapartum prophylaxis. 50% (34) had identifiable risk factors before delivery (32 clinical, two positive maternal culture) and 50% had no risk factors.</li> <li>Of the 32 with clinical risk factors, 22 were &lt;37 weeks gestation, 12 had ruptured membranes &gt;=18 hours, nine had intrapartum maternal fever and two had prior GBS bacteriuria. None had a previous infant with EOGBS. 10 had more than one risk factor.</li> <li>22 women had antenatal culture for GBS colonization performed. 18 cultures were negative for GBS including 15 obtained using suboptimal culture technique or collected more than 6 weeks before delivery.</li> </ul> <p>Neonatal outcomes: No prophylaxis (68):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>14 required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and</li> <li>Three died.</li> </ul> <p>Some intrapartum prophylaxis (24):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nine had received &gt;=two doses for &gt;=4 hours immediately before delivery.</li> <li>two required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and</li> <li>One died.</li> </ul> <p>&gt;4 hours of intrapartum prophylaxis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>no deaths</li> <li>One infant required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.</li> </ul> <p>Following the publication of CDC guidelines in May 1996, there was a decrease both in the number of cases of EOGBS disease (56 versus 36) as well as in the number with clinical risk factors but no intrapartum prophylaxis (24/56 (43%) versus 5/28 (18%)).</p>	Case series study	III-3
2003		July 1992-December 2001					
		All infants admitted with culture proven EOGBS.					

## Literature Search and Appraisal

### 2.2 In pregnant women, is screening for GBS after 30 weeks gestation more effective than screening for GBS prior to 30 weeks gestation?

Study	Ref	Population	Intervention	Outcomes	Results	Study type	EL
Haque KN, Khan MA et al 2004	15	St Helier University Hospital, Surrey, England.  14,767 live births  January 1996 – December 2000	Culture for sepsis	Neonatal sepsis.  Risk factors of neonatal sepsis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• &lt;72 hours old</li> <li>• &gt;72 hours old.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1,612 (11%) neonates were admitted to the NICU during the study period. Of those admitted to the NICU 908 were screened for sepsis, including 124 with at least one positive culture (ie sepsis rate of 8.4 per 1,000 live births [1%] or 77 per 1,000 NICU admissions).</li> <li>• 24 neonates had sepsis within 72 hours of birth and 100 developed sepsis after 72 hours of age. Early onset sepsis was most frequently caused by coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) and group B Streptococcus. Late onset sepsis was caused by CoNS and Escherichia coli.</li> </ul> <p><b>Risk factors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk factors for sepsis were birth before 30 weeks' gestation and birth weight less than 1,500 g.</li> <li>• The key risk factor associated with early onset sepsis was resuscitation at birth.</li> <li>• Late onset sepsis risk factors include respiratory support prior to sepsis, presence of a central or peripheral catheter, and total parenteral nutrition were leading risk factors for late onset sepsis.</li> </ul>	Retrospective cohort study	III-2
Lin FYC, Weisman LE et al. 2003	16	Hospitals within 50 mile radius of the Texas Medical Center, Houston, USA.  145 infants treated for LOGBS (23 were excluded, resulting in 122 case patients).  July 1995 – June 2000	Culture for sepsis	Maternal and neonatal risk factors for LOGBS (onset of disease or positive culture 7-180 days after birth)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 145 infants were treated for LOGBS, estimated annual incidence of 0.38 cases / 1000 live births.</li> <li>• Following exclusions, 122 cases were matched with 122 control subjects for hospital of birth and date of birth.</li> <li>• 50% of the case patients were preterm infants, 84% of whom were born at &lt;34 weeks of gestation. The risk for late-onset GBS disease increased by a factor of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1.34 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.15-1.56) for each week of decreasing gestation,</li> <li>○ 3.70 (95% CI, 1.35-10.1) for infants of black mothers, and</li> <li>○ 4.15 (95% CI, 1.27-13.60) for infants of mothers with a positive GBS screening.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Authors conclude prematurity is the major risk factor for late-onset GBS disease, and the risk factors for LOGBS are similar to EOGBS disease.</p>	Case control study	III-2

## Literature Search and Appraisal

<p>Gilbert GL, Hewitt MC et al</p> <p>2002</p>	<p>17</p>	<p>Westmead Hospital (university teaching and tertiary referral hospital ~4300 births per annum) and a community hospital with ~2600 births per annum, New South Wales, Australia.</p> <p>500 women attending antenatal clinic.</p>	<p>Screen for GBS at 26-32 weeks gestation and at delivery using different screening methods.</p>	<p>Incidence of antenatal anovaginal GBS carriage.</p> <p>Intrapartum clinical risk factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• predictive values for intrapartum GBS carriage, and</li> <li>• relationship to demographic and obstetric factors.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GBS carriage rates were similar for antenatal and intrapartum, and varied from 18% to 27%, depending on screening methods.</li> <li>• The best positive and negative predictive values of antenatal GBS culture, for intrapartum carriage, were 69% (95% confidence interval (CI) 64-74) and 92% (95% CI 50-94) respectively.</li> <li>• Clinical risk factors occurred in similar proportions of GBS carriers and non-carriers.</li> </ul> <p>Authors conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intrapartum GBS carriage cannot be reliably predicted by either early antenatal screening or clinical risk factors.</li> <li>• Intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis based on GBS carriage or risk factors (when carrier status is unknown) would involve approximately 35% of women, and 16% if based on risk factors alone. Both strategies would prevent similar proportions of neonatal deaths from GBS sepsis.</li> <li>• The most likely determinant of overall effectiveness is compliance with a preventive protocol.</li> </ul>	<p>Cohort study</p> <p>III-2</p>
--	-----------	---	---	--	---	----------------------------------